Summary of Decisions of the Structural Engineering Committee
SEC 1/2009 held on 06.04.2009 and 28.04.2009

(a) Case 1/2009

Issue: Use of Bartec mechanical couplers as equivalence of full strength welded splices

Recommendation: To accept Bartec mechanical couplers be used in any location as per full strength welded splices without being subject to the restrictions for laps and mechanical couplers stipulated in clauses 9.9.1.1(d) and 9.9.2.1(d) of the Code of Practice for Structural Use of Concrete 2004 (the 2004 Code) on the following conditions:

1. The couplers shall be tested in accordance with US standard AC 133 "Acceptance Criteria for Mechanical Connectors for Steel Bar Reinforcement" in local HOKLAS accredited laboratories to establish that the couplers comply with the requirements of Type 2 mechanical splices as specified in US Standard ACI-318 "Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete";
2. The couplers shall also be tested in local HOKLAS accredited laboratories to establish that the couplers comply with the requirements stated in Clause 3.2.8.2 of the 2004 Code and the criteria that the coupled bar assembly tensile strength should exceed 287.5 N/mm^2 for grade 250 and 529 N/mm^2 for grade 460; and
3. Full test reports and quality assurance schemes from manufacturer and purchaser shall be submitted for BD's acceptance.

Decision: Members endorsed the recommendations subject to the following conditions:-

(a) the following guidance as stipulated in the Commentary of ACI 318 R21.2.6 should apply:

"If use of mechanical splices in regions of potential yielding cannot be avoided, the designer should have documentation on the actual strength characteristics of the bars to be spliced, on the force-deformation characteristics of the spliced bar, and on the ability of the Type 2 splice to be used to meet the specified performance requirements".

(b) To avoid possible ambiguities arising from differing definition on ε_y and f_y with AC 133 and CS2, it is clarified and confirmed that 0.43 percent for ε_y, as per CS2 clause 6.2, and the corresponding stress value for f_y should be adopted in the test.

Members had indicated no objection to the following RSE counter proposal made in response to item 2 of the recommendation:-

(a) To appoint overseas laboratories credited by other laboratory accreditation bodies which have reached mutual recognition agreement/arrangements with HOKLAS in accordance with the practice promulgated in para 3 of PNAP 251.