

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 13/2016 held on 12.4.2016**

(a) MAII 1 13/2016

- Issues : (i) Inadequate clear headroom of exit route inside the existing building.
- (ii) Existing protective barriers in historic building not up to the current standard.
- (iii) Non-provision of protective barriers around floor openings.
- Decisions : (i) Noting the circumstances of the case, the committee had no objection in principle to the deficiency in exit route headroom subject to the provision of compensatory measures in addition to those proposed.
- (ii) Noting the circumstances of the case and having regard to the proposed compensatory measures, the committee had no objection in principle to the existing protective barriers subject to the re-design of compensatory measure.
- (iii) Having regard to site circumstances, the committee had no in-principle objection to accept the trap door design around floor openings subject to the provision of compensatory measures.

(b) MAII 2 13/2016

- Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.
- Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(c) BCII 1 13/2016

- Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.
- Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(d) BCII 2 13/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised to submit plans by the registered lot owners, the committee accepted the proof.

(e) BCII 3 13/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised to submit plans by the registered lot owner, the committee accepted the proof.