

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 10/2016 held on 15.3.2016**

(a) MAII 1 10/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record and the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 was registered owner of all the units of the subject lots except one shop premises and had entered into an agreement containing irrevocable conditions for completion of the sale and purchase with the shop premises owner, the committee accepted the proof.

(b) BCII 1 10/2016

Issues : (i) Arrangement of means of escape on G/F.  
(ii) Number and width of exit doors and exit routes for storeys having an occupant capacity of more than 3,000 persons.  
(iii) Fire service access point not on the ground storey.

Decisions : (i) Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection in-principle to the proposed arrangement of means of escape on G/F subject to further justifications on the FSI zoning control.  
(ii) Having considered the circumstances of the case, the committee had no objection to the proposed assessment of the required number and width of exit doors and exit routes.  
(iii) Having regard to the site circumstances and no adverse comment from the D of FS, the committee had no objection to the proposal.

(c) BCII 2 10/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(d) BCII 3 10/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(e) BCII 4 10/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(f) BCII 5 10/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(g) BCII 6 10/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO, the committee did not accept the proof and agreed that the plans should be disapproved.