

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 6/2016 held on 16.2.2016**

(a) BCII 1 6/2016

Issue : Substandard EVA with inadequate width.

Decision : Having regard to site circumstances and further justification was required to substantiate the viability of the proposed re-development, it would be pre-mature to consider the deficiencies on provision of EVA at this stage.

(b) BCII 2 6/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the land authority would grant the lease to the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(c) BCII 3 6/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(d) BCII 4 6/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(e) BCII 5 6/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(f) BCII 6 6/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(g) BCII 7 6/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(h) BCII 8 6/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(i) BCII 9 6/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO, the committee did not accept the proof and agreed that the plans should be disapproved.