

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 5/2016 held on 2.2.2016**

(a) BCII 1 5/2016

Issue : PPE situated in a building which is used for purposes other than PPE.

Decision : Having regard to site circumstances and noting that the proposed PPE would have independent MOE and adequate fire separation from the remaining parts of the building, the committee agreed to grant a modification to permit the PPE could be mixed up other non-domestic uses in the development.

(b) BCII 2 5/2016

Issue : Wall signboards within a distance of not more than 900mm from the site boundary of the adjoining site.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstance and the main structural members of the signboards would be coated with fire rated material, the committee accepted the proposed wall signboards could be faced adjoining site.

(c) BCII 3 5/2016

Issue : Non-provision of EVA and inadequate façade length served by the EVA.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee accepted the deficiencies on provision of EVA subject to the provision of fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(d) BCII 4 5/2016

Issue : Inadequate width of EVA and inadequate façade length served by the EVA.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee accepted the deficiencies on provision of EVA subject to the provision of fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(e) BCII 5 5/2016

Issue : Inadequate façade length served by the EVA.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee accepted the deficiency on provision of EVA subject to the provision of fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(f) BCII 6 5/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised to submit plans by the registered lot owner, the committee accepted the proof.

(g) BCII 7 5/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(h) BCII 8 5/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(i) BCII 9 5/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(j) BCII 10 5/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had accepted the offer for the proposed land grant and would become the grantee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(k) BCII 11 5/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was one of the registered lot owners according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 and had been authorised to submit plans by all the other lot owners, the committee accepted the proof.

(l) BCII 12 5/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised to submit plans by the registered lot owner, the committee accepted the proof.

(m) BCII 13 5/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been leased the land forming the site from the registered lot owner and the lease had been authorised the leasee to develop the site, the committee accepted the proof.

(n) BCII 14 5/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was one of the registered lot owners according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 and had been authorised to submit plans by all the other lot owners, the committee accepted the proof.

(o) BCII 15 5/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO, the committee did not accept the proof and agreed that the plans be disapproved.