# Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 3/2016 held on 19.1.2016

## (a) MAII 1 3/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant had

acquired 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the

committee accepted the proof.

## (b) BCII 1 3/2016

Issue : EVA of inadequate width, loading capacity and turning space.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS.

the committee had no objection to the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of fire safety measures to the satisfaction of

the D of FS.

## (c) <u>BCII 2 3/2016</u>

Issue : EVA not adequately serving two opposite façades of an industrial

building.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS,

the committee had no objection to the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of fire safety measures to the satisfaction of

the D of FS.

## (d) <u>BCII 3 3/2016</u>

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised to submit plans by the

registered lot owner, the committee accepted the proof.

## (e) BCII 4 3/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof.

## (f) BCII 5 3/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the

land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee

accepted the proof.

## (g) BCII 6 3/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof.

## (h) <u>BCII 7 3/2016</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did

not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO, the committee did

not accept the proof and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

## (i) <u>BCII 8 3/2016</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to

demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the

plans be disapproved.

# (j) <u>BCII 9 3/2016</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to

demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the

plans be disapproved.

## (k) <u>BCII 10 3/2016</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did

not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO, the committee did

not accept the proof and agreed that the plans be disapproved.