

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 1/2016 held on 5.1.2016**

(a) BCII 1 1/2016

Issues : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(b) BCII 2 1/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(c) BCII 3 1/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(d) BCII 4 1/2016

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had accepted the offer for the proposed land grant and would become the grantee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof.

(e) BCII 5 1/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO, the committee did not accept the proof and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(f) BCII 6 1/2016

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the plans be disapproved.