

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 47/2015 held on 24.11.2015**

(a) BCII 1 47/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(b) BCII 2 47/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(c) BCII 3 47/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof.

(d) BCII 4 47/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA5 had been authorised to submit plans by the applicant shown on the Form BA4, who had accepted the offer for the proposed lease and would become the lessee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof.

(e) BCII 5 47/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(f) BCII 6 47/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof and agreed that the plans be disapproved.