

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 38/2015 held on 22.9.2015**

(a) MAII 1 38/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the land authority would grant the lease to the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(b) MAII 2 38/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(c) BCII 1 38/2015

Issue : EVA of inadequate width and turning space.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee had no objection to the proposed EVA arrangement.

(d) BCII 2 38/2015

Issue : Application for exemption from payment of plan processing fee.

Decision : Noting that the submissions were made by a charitable organisation and having regard to the use and nature of the proposed works, the committee agreed to exempt the applicant from payment of plan processing fee.

(e) BCII 3 38/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had accepted the offer for the proposed land grant and would become the grantee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 4 38/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 5 38/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 6 38/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 7 38/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised to submit plans by the registered lot owner, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(j) BCII 8 38/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(k) BCII 9 38/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(l) BCII 10 38/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(m) BCII 11 38/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.