Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 36/2015 held on 8.9.2015

(a) BCII 1 36/2015

Issue : Proposed MOE arrangement for a site with a PPE.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the committee did not

accept the proposed MOE arrangement.

(b) BCII 2 36/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(c) BCII 3 36/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised to submit plans by the

registered lot owner, the committee accepted the proof of realistic

prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(d) BCII 4 36/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised to submit plans by the

registered lot owner, the committee accepted the proof of realistic

prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 5 36/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the

land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee

accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 6 36/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) <u>BCII 7 36/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the

applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site,

and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(h) BCII 8 36/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the

applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site,

and agreed that the plans be disapproved.