

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 22/2015 held on 2.6.2015**

(a) MAII 1 22/2015

- Issues : (i) Proposed open kitchen design.
- (ii) Provision of natural ventilation adopting the performance-based approach.
- Decisions : (i) Having studied the proposal and considered the circumstances of the case, the committee did not accept the proposed open kitchen.
- (ii) The committee agreed that the proposal should be referred to the Expanded Building Committee for consideration.

(b) BCII 1 22/2015

- Issue : Proposed underground service trenches.
- Decision : Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection to the proposed underground service trenches subject to revised design and further substantiation.

(c) BCII 2 22/2015

- Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.
- Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(d) BCII 3 22/2015

- Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.
- Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 4 22/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had leased the land forming the site from the registered lot owner who had authorised the lessee to develop the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 5 22/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had leased the land forming the site from the registered lot owner who had authorised the lessee to develop the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 6 22/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.