# **Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 9/2015 held on 3.3.2015**

# (a) MAII 1 9/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

## (b) MAII 2 9/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised to submit plans by the

registered lot owner, the committee accepted the proof of realistic

prospect of control of the land forming the site.

# (c) BCII 1 9/2015

Issues : (i) Non-provision of EVA.

- (ii) PPE situated at the basement.
- (iii) Occupant capacity of a PPE.
- (iv) Co-existence of PPE and non-PPE uses in the same building.

Decisions: (i) Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the

satisfaction of the D of FS.

- (ii) Noting that the relevant requirements under FS Code Clause B27.3 were generally complied with, the committee had no objection to the proposal.
- (iii) Having regard to the use of the premises and the AP's justifications, the committee had no in-principle objection to the proposed occupant capacity.
- (iv) Having regard to the AP's justifications, the committee had no objection to permit the proposed co-existence of PPE and non-PPE uses in the same building subject to no adverse comments from the D of FS.

#### (d) BCII 2 9/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

# (e) BCII 3 9/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

# (f) <u>BCII 4 9/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

## (g) BCII 5 9/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

# (h) <u>BCII 6 9/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

# (i) BCII 7 9/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

# (j) <u>BCII 8 9/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to

demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the

plans be disapproved.