Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 6/2015 held on 10.2.2015

(a) BCII 1 6/2015

Issue : Non-provision of EVA.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS,

the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA.

(b) <u>BCII 2 6/2015</u>

Issue : Arrangement for interchange between required staircases.

Decision : Having studied the plans, the committee had no objection to the

proposed arrangement for interchange between required staircases.

(c) BCII 3 6/2015

Issue : EVA arrangement for a PPE.

Decision : Having regard to the advice of the D of FS, the committee had no

objection to the proposed EVA arrangement.

(d) <u>BCII 4 6/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 5 6/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 6 6/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had

leased the land forming the site from the lot owner and the lessor had authorised the lessee to carry out the proposed works, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

(g) <u>BCII 7 6/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the

land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee

accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 8 6/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the

land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee

accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 9 6/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the

applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of

ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site,

and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(j) BCII 10 6/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to

demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the

plans be disapproved.

(k) <u>BCII 11 6/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the

applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site,

and agreed that the plans be disapproved.