Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 5/2015 held on 3.2.2015

(a) <u>BCII 1 5/2015</u>

Issue : Proprietary raised floor system without the required FRR in a data

centre.

Decision : Having studied the proposal with additional fire service installations,

the committee accepted the proposed proprietary raised floor system subject to further substantiation and no objection from the D of FS.

(b) BCII 2 5/2015

Issue : Performance-based approach to the assessment of the provision of

natural lighting in some habitable rooms and kitchens of a residential

building.

Decision : Noting that the simulation was generally conducted in line with the

guidelines in PNAP APP-130, the committee had no objection to the

assessment adopting the performance-based approach.

(c) <u>BCII 3 5/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(d) <u>BCII 4 5/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was one of the registered lot owners and had

been authorised to submit plans by the other lot owner, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

(e) BCII 5 5/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the

applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site,

and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(f) BCII 6 5/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 7 5/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had

leased the land forming the site from the registered lot owner and the lessor had authorised the lessee to develop the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

(h) <u>BCII 8 5/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the

applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site,

and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(i) BCII 9 5/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the

applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site,

and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(j) <u>BCII 10 5/2015</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the documents submitted by the AP did not demonstrate

that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had 100% ownership of the land forming the site or had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO, the committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land

forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.