

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 2/2015 held on 13.1.2015**

(a) BCII 1 2/2015

- Issues : (i) Frontage to thoroughfares for a PPE with an occupant capacity of more than 5000 persons.
- (ii) EVA arrangement for a PPE.
- (iii) Occupancy factor for swimming pools.
- Decisions : (i) Having regard to the low fire risk, the proposed thoroughfares and the proposed MOE arrangement, the committee accepted the proposed frontage to thoroughfares for the building.
- (ii) Having regard to the AP's justifications and the advice of the D of FS, the committee had no objection to the proposed EVA arrangement.
- (iii) Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the committee had no objection to the proposed occupancy factor.

(b) BCII 2 2/2015

- Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.
- Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(c) BCII 3 2/2015

- Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.
- Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(d) BCII 4 2/2015

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was one of the lot owners and had been authorised to submit plans by the other lot owner, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 5 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 6 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 7 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 8 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 9 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(j) BCII 10 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(k) BCII 11 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(l) BCII 12 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(m) BCII 13 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(n) BCII 14 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(o) BCII 15 2/2015

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.