

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 51/2014 held on 23.12.2014**

(a) MAII 1 51/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(b) BCII 1 51/2014

Issue : EVA of inadequate width.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee accepted the proposed EVA arrangement.

(c) BCII 2 51/2014

Issue : EVA of inadequate width, not in the form of two-way carriageway and not adequately serving two opposite façades of a warehouse building.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(d) BCII 3 51/2014

Issue : EVA of inadequate width.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by the D of FS.

(e) BCII 4 51/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 5 51/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had accepted the offer for the proposed lease and would become the lessee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 6 51/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(h) BCII 7 51/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(i) BCII 8 51/2014

Issue : Prescribed windows for hotel guestrooms.

Decision : Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection to the proposed prescribed windows.