

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 50/2014 held on 16.12.2014**

(a) MAII 1 50/2014

Issue : Refuge floor for the purpose of interchange of required staircases.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the committee had no in-principle objection to the design of the proposed refuge floor subject to no objection from the D of FS.

(b) MAII 2 50/2014

Issue : Formal appeal against the decision of the Building Authority.

Decision : Having considered the case, the committee agreed to contest the appeal.

(c) BCII 1 50/2014

Issue : EVA of inadequate width.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(d) BCII 2 50/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the land authority would grant the lease to the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 3 50/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the land authority would grant the lease to the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 4 50/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 5 50/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the lot owner according to the documents submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 6 50/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had been authorised by the lot owner to submit plans for the proposal, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 7 50/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had accepted the offer for the proposed lease and would become the lessee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(j) BCII 8 50/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(k) BCII 9 50/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the plans be disapproved.