# Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 49/2014 held on 9.12.2014

## (a) <u>BCII 1 49/2014</u>

Issue : EVA of inadequate width.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee accepted the

proposed EVA arrangement subject to no adverse comments from the

D of FS.

#### (b) BCII 2 49/2014

Issue : Existing exit staircase of a historic building with winders and of

inadequate width and headroom.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposed compensatory measures were

generally in line with the Practice Guidebook for Adaptive Re-use of and Alteration and Addition Works to Heritage Buildings. Having considered the proposed MOE arrangement and the circumstances of

the case, the committee had no objection to the proposal.

# (c) <u>BCII 3 49/2014</u>

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had

leased the land forming the site from the registered lot owner and the lessor had authorised the lessee to develop the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

### (d) BCII 4 49/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had

accepted the offer for the proposed lease and would become the lessee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of

realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

# (e) BCII 5 49/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

# (f) <u>BCII 6 49/2014</u>

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was one of the lot owners and had been

authorised to submit plans by all other lot owners, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

# (g) <u>BCII 7 49/2014</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

# (h) <u>BCII 8 49/2014</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the

land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee

accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

### (i) BCII 9 49/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

# (j) <u>BCII 10 49/2014</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to

demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the

plans be disapproved.