

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 47/2014 held on 25.11.2014**

(a) MAII 1 47/2014

Issue : Application of the FS Code.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the committee agreed that the FS Code was not applicable to the case.

(b) BCII 1 47/2014

Issue : Proposed open kitchen in hotel guestrooms.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the committee had no objection to the proposed open kitchen subject to no objection from the D of FS.

(c) BCII 2 47/2014

Issue : Design of smoke seal lobbies.

Decision : Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection to the design of the smoke seal lobbies.

(d) BCII 3 47/2014

Issue : EVA with a gradient steeper than 1:10.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee had no objection to the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(e) BCII 4 47/2014

Issue : Discharge of storm water to the harbour.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case and the comments of concerned departments, the committee had no objection to the proposed arrangement for the discharge of storm water.

(f) BCII 5 47/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 6 47/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had been authorised by the lot owner to submit plans for the proposal, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 7 47/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 8 47/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(j) BCII 9 47/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(k) BCII 10 47/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.