

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 32/2014 held on 12.8.2014**

(a) BCII 1 32/2014

Issue : Non-provision of EVA.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(b) BCII 2 32/2014

Issue : EVA of inadequate width.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS, the committee accepted the proposed EVA arrangement.

(c) BCII 3 32/2014

Issue : Existing combustible timber roof of a historic building.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposed compensatory measures were in line with the Practice Guidebook for Adaptive Re-use of and Alteration and Addition Works to Heritage Buildings. Having considered the circumstances and noting the conservation needs as well as the support of the relevant department, the committee had no objection to the proposal.

(d) BCII 4 32/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised by the lot owner to submit plans, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 5 32/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and that the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 6 32/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 7 32/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.