

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 30/2014 held on 29.7.2014**

(a) BCII 1 30/2014

Issue : Number and width of exit doors and exit routes for storeys having an occupant capacity of more than 3,000 persons.

Decision : Having considered the circumstances of the case, the committee had no objection to the proposed assessment of the required number and width of exit doors and exit routes.

(b) BCII 2 30/2014

Issue : Proprietary raised floor system without the required FRR in a data centre.

Decision : Having studied the proposal with additional fire service installations, the committee accepted the proposed proprietary raised floor system subject to no objection from the D of FS.

(c) BCII 3 30/2014

Issues : (i) Occupancy factor for uses not specified in Table B4 of the FS Code.  
(ii) Arrangement for interchange between required staircases.  
(iii) Means of escape for a public transport interchange.  
(iv) Fire service access point not on the ground storey.  
(v) EVA serving less than one-fourth of the total length of all the perimeter walls of a building.

Decisions : (i) Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the committee did not accept the proposed occupancy factor and agreed that the occupant capacity of the concerned areas should be assessed individually having regard to their layouts and uses.  
(ii) Having regard to the nature of the proposed building and the AP's justifications, the committee had no objection to the proposed arrangement for interchange between required staircases subject to further substantiations.  
(iii) Having regard to the layout and design of the public transport interchange, the committee had no objection to the proposed means of escape arrangement.

- (iv) Noting that the fire service access point would be located close to the EVA, the committee had no objection to the proposal subject to no adverse comments from the D of FS.
- (v) Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by the D of FS.

(d) BCII 4 30/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 5 30/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised by the lot owner to submit plans for the proposal, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 6 30/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had accepted the offer for the proposed lease and would become the lessee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 7 30/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 8 30/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 9 30/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(j) BCII 10 30/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(k) BCII 11 30/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the plans be disapproved.