

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 27/2014 held on 8.7.2014**

(a) MAII 1 27/2014

Issue : Refuge floor for the purpose of interchange of required staircases.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the committee did not accept the proposed arrangement of the required staircases on the refuge floor.

(b) BCII 1 27/2014

Issue : Open sides of a refuge floor cum sky garden within 6m from the boundary of another site.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the committee had no objection to the proposed design of the refuge floor cum sky garden subject to revised design.

(c) BCII 2 27/2014

Issue : Non-provision of kerbstone for the footpath of a private street.

Decision : Noting that the safety of pedestrians and road users would be jeopardised, the committee did not accept the proposal.

(d) BCII 3 27/2014

Issue : Non-provision of kerbstone for the footpath of a private street.

Decision : Noting that the safety of pedestrians and road users would be jeopardised, the committee did not accept the proposal.

(e) BCII 4 27/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had accepted the offer for the proposed lease and would become the lessee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 5 27/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the land grant submitted by the AP, and the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 6 27/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant was one of the lot owners and had been authorised to submit plans by all other lot owners, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 7 27/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 8 27/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(j) BCII 9 27/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(k) BCII 10 27/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(l) BCII 11 27/2014

Issue : Occupancy factor for domestic flats.

Decision : Having regard to the nature of the development, the layout of the flats and the AP's justifications, the committee accepted the proposed occupancy factor.