

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 23/2014 held on 10.6.2014**

(a) BCII 1 23/2014

Issue : Refuge floor for the purpose of interchange of required staircases.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the committee had no in-principle objection to the proposed arrangement of the area for refuge and the open sides of the refuge floor but did not accept the proposed arrangement of the required staircases on the refuge floor.

(b) BCII 2 23/2014

Issue : Installation of openable windows to unenclosed of existing residential units.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case and noting that the existing standard of natural lighting and ventilation would not be adversely affected, the committee had no in-principle objection to the proposal.

(c) BCII 3 23/2014

Issue : Non-provision of EVA.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(d) BCII 4 23/2014

Issue : EVA serving less than one-fourth of the total length of all the perimeter walls of single-family houses.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by the D of FS.

(e) BCII 5 23/2014

Issue : Non-provision of EVA.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by the D of FS.

(f) BCII 6 23/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 7 23/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised by the lot owner to submit plans, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 8 23/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 9 23/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had leased the land forming the site from the registered lot owner and had been authorised to develop the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(j) BCII 10 23/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had been authorised by the lot owner to submit plans, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(k) BCII 11 23/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(l) BCII 12 23/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the grantee of the lot according to the land grant submitted by the AP, and that the grantee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(m) BCII 13 23/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(n) BCII 14 23/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had leased the land forming the site from the registered lot owner and had been authorised to develop the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(o) BCII 15 23/2014

Issue : Proposed TBE rooms of a size and clear height less than that specified in PNAP APP-84.

Decision : Having regard to the AP's justifications and the advice of the concerned department, the committee had no objection to the proposed TBE rooms.