Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 16/2014 held on 22.4.2014

(a) MAII 1 16/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(b) <u>BCII 1 16/2014</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(c) BCII 2 16/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the

LR record and land grant submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the

site.

(d) <u>BCII 3 16/2014</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the documents submitted by the AP did not demonstrate

that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had 100% ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO, the committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be

disapproved.

(e) BCII 4 16/2014

Issue : EVA with deficiencies in gradient and turning circle.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee had no

objection to the proposal subject to the provision of enhanced fire

safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(f) BCII 5 16/2014

Issues : (i) Existing combustible timber roof in a declared monument.

(ii) Existing exit route of inadequate width in a declared monument.

Decisions : (i)

The committee noted that the proposed compensatory measures were in line with the Practice Guidebook on Compliance with Building Safety and Health Requirements under the Buildings Ordinance for Adaptive Re-use. Having considered the circumstances and noting the conservation needs as well as the support of the relevant department, the committee had no objection to the proposal.

(ii) Having regard to the use, occupant capacity and travel distance on the subject floor and noting the conservation needs as well as the support of the relevant department, the committee had no objection to the proposal subject to further substantiation.