

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 11/2014 held on 18.3.2014**

(a) MAII 1 11/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(b) MAII 2 11/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(c) BCII 1 11/2014

Issue : EVA of inadequate width.

Decisions : Having regard to the site circumstances and D of FS's advice, the committee accepted the proposed EVA arrangement.

(d) BCII 2 11/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 3 11/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 4 11/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the proposed A&A works for the construction of a new building would be carried out in an area designated as common parts in the Deed of Mutual Covenant and the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 was the Incorporated Owners of the building, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 5 11/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 6 11/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant was one of the registered lot owners and was authorised by other lot owners for the building plans submission, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 7 11/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant was the registered lot owner according to the LR record submitted by the AP, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(j) BCII 8 11/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had accepted the offer for the proposed lease and would become the lessee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(k) BCII 9 11/2014

Issue : Proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 had accepted the offer for the proposed lease and would become the lessee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(l) BCII 10 11/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(m) BCII 11 11/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(n) BCII 12 11/2014

Issue : Horizontal acoustic fins projecting above prescribed windows.

Decision : Having studied the proposal, the committee considered that the design of some of the windows was not acceptable in terms of ventilation performance but had no objection to the design of other windows.