Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 1/2014 held on 7.1.2014

(i)

(a) MAII 1 1/2014

Issues : (i) Protective barriers of inadequate height.

- (ii) Headroom of a PPE.
- (iii) Design of gangways in a PPE.
- (iv) EVA arrangement for a PPE.

Decisions :

- Having studied the proposal, the committee had no in-principle objection to accept some of the proposed protective barriers subject to further substantiations. The committee considered that it was pre-mature to consider the acceptance of other protective barriers and further justifications were required.
- (ii) Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection to the proposed headroom.
- (iii) Having studied the proposal, the committee did not accept the proposed design of the gangways.
- (iv) Having regard to the advice of the D of FS, the committee had no objection to the proposed EVA arrangement.

(b) BCII 1 1/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant was

one of the registered lot owners and was authorised by other lot owners to carry out the proposed works, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(c) <u>BCII 2 1/2014</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of

ownership of the land forming the site.

(d) BCII 3 1/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the

applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site,

and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(e) BCII 4 1/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to

demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(f) BCII 5 1/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicants

were the registered lot owners, and the owners' name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(g) <u>BCII 6 1/2014</u>

Issue : Non-provision of EVA.

Decision : Having regard to the site circumstances and the advice of the D of FS,

the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D

of FS.

(h) BCII 7 1/2014

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to

demonstrate that the applicant had ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(i) <u>BCII 8 1/2014</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant was

one of the registered lot owners and appointed by other lot owners to act on their behalf for the application for approval of plans under the BO, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control

of the land forming the site.