

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 41/2013 held on 15.10.2013**

(a) MAII 1 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant had been authorised by the registered lot owner to handle the subject case, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(b) MAII 2 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(c) BCII 1 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(d) BCII 2 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 3 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 4 41/2013

Issues : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.
(ii) EVA of inadequate width serving less than one-fourth of the total length of all the perimeter walls of buildings and more than 10m horizontal distances from some major facades of buildings.

Decisions : (i) Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(ii) Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by D of FS.

(g) BCII 5 41/2013

Issues : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) Non-provision of EVA.

Decisions : (i) Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant on the Form BA4 had accepted the offer for the proposed lease and would become the lessee of the land forming the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by the D of FS.

(h) BCII 6 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 7 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(j) BCII 8 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(k) BCII 9 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant was the lessee of the land, and the lessee's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(l) BCII 10 41/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the documents submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA5 did not have 100% ownership of the land forming the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership / realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.