

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 25/2013 held on 25.6.2013**

(a) MAII 1 25/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document submitted by the AP that the applicant on the Form BA 4 and Form BA 5 would be the registered lot owner, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(b) MAII 2 25/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(c) BCII 1 25/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document submitted by the AP that the applicant on Form BA 4 was the lessee of the land forming the site, and the authorized agent shown on Form BA 4 was the applicant shown on Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(d) BCII 2 25/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 3 25/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 4 25/2013

Issue : Application for exemption from payment of plan processing fees.

Decision : Having considered the AP's justifications and pursuant to PNAP APP-55, the committee acknowledged the proposed works were in response to a statutory order issued under the BO and agreed to exempt the submission from payment of plan processing fees.