

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 17/2013 held on 30.4.2013**

(a) MAII 1 17/2013

Issue : Co-existence of cinemas and school in one building.

Decision : Having regard to the proposed MOE and FRC provisions, the committee raised no objection under B(P)R 49B.

(b) BCII 1 17/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(c) BCII 2 17/2013

Issue : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.  
(ii) EVA serving less than one-fourth of the total length of all the perimeter walls of buildings and with more than 10m horizontal distances from the major facades of buildings.

Decision : (i) Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.  
(ii) Having regard to the site circumstances, the committee accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by D of FS.

(d) BCII 3 17/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered lot owner, and the owner's name tallied with that shown on the Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the land forming the site.

(e) BCII 4 17/2013

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the assignment document, authorization letter and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was one of the lot owners and had been authorized by the other lot owner for submission of building plans, the committee agreed in principle to accept the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 5 17/2013

Issue : (i) Protective barriers of less than 1100mm high.  
(ii) Assessment of occupant capacity for theatre foyer.  
(iii) Assessment of occupant capacity for a use not specified in the FS Code.

Decision : (i) Having studied the design, the committee did not accept the proposed protective barriers.  
(ii) Having regard to the provision of FS Code Clause B27.9, the committee had no in-principle objection that the occupant capacity of the theatre foyer be assessed in accordance with FS Code Clause B27.8.  
(iii) Having regard to the nature of activities to be conducted, the committee had no in-principle objection to the proposed occupant capacity.