

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 43/2012 held on 6.11.2012**

(a) BCII 1 43/2012

- Issue : (i) Non-provision of EVA for a single-family house.
- (ii) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.
- Decision : (i) Having noted the site constraint and the advice of FSD, the committee accepted the proposed non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.
- (ii) Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(b) BCII 2 43/2012

- Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.
- Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(c) BCII 3 42/2012

- Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.
- Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(d) BCII 4 43/2012

- Issue : (i) PPEs mixed with other uses.
- (ii) Adoption of Alternative Solution to prove compliance with requirements on having sufficient nos. and width of thoroughfares abutting a site for PPE buildings.
- (iii) Adoption of Alternative Solution to prove upward direction exit routes for PPEs partly within a podium achieving an acceptable level of fire safety.

- Decision : (i) Having noted the site circumstances and the AP's justifications, the committee had no in principle objection to permit the proposed PPE establishment to be located in the proposed complex with other uses subject to compliance with the FS Code.
- (ii) Having noted the site circumstances and the AP's justifications, the committee had no in principle objection to the adoption of Alternative Solution for the case.
- (iii) Having noted the site circumstances and the AP's justifications, the committee considered that the AP should further explore the design options to comply with the FS Code.