Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 42/2012 held on 30.10.2012

(a) MAII 1 42/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the document submitted by the AP that the applicant had

been authorized and appointed by the owners to deal with all matters relating to the redevelopment at the subject lots on their behalf, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the

site.

(b) BCII 1 42/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner was the same as that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted

the proof of ownership of the site.

(c) BCII 2 42/2012

Issue : Demonstration in compliance with the natural ventilation requirement

using performance-based approach.

Decision : Having noted that the conditions under Appendix A of PNAP APP-

130 were not satisfied, the committee did not accept the demonstration

on the ventilation performance of the proposed windows.

(d) <u>BCII 3 42/2012</u>

Issue : The EVA being substandard in width and more than 10m from the

major façade of the proposed building.

Decision : Having noted the site circumstances, the committee accepted the

proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire

safety measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(e) <u>BCII 4 42/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the existing owner of the site entered into a Provisional

Agreement for Sale and Purchase to sell the site to a company and had

given consent to the company to submit plans for approval and that the company had appointed the applicant to submit the plans for approval on its behalf, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(f) <u>BCII 5 42/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the applicant had accepted the basic terms offer for a

private treaty grant, the committee accepted the proof of realistic

prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(g) <u>BCII 6 42/2012</u>

Issue : Proposed wall signboard with adverse comment from a department.

Decision : Having noted the advice of relevant outside departments and considered

the proposal, the committee did not accept the proposed signboard in the absence of AP's substantiation to address the concern of the department.

(h) BCII 7 42/2012

Issue : Refuge floor not evenly provided between floors of the building for

the purpose of interchange of access to required staircases.

Decision: Having considered the circumstances of the case, the committee

accepted in-principle the proposed provision of refuge floor for the

purpose of interchange of required staircases.

(i) BCII 8 42/2012

Issue : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land

forming the site.

(ii) EVA for an industrial building in the form of one-way

carriageway and less than 13.5m wide.

Decision : (i) Noting that the applicant had accepted the basic terms offer for a short term tenancy, the committee accepted the proof of realistic

prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) Having noted the site situation, the committee accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced

fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.