

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 32/2012 held on 21.8.2012**

(a) BCII 1 32/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(b) BCII 2 32/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(c) BCII 3 32/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of the site and did not demonstrate that the applicant has a realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the proof of ownership and realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(d) BCII 4 32/2012

Issue : Non- provision of EVA.

Decision : The committee, having noted the existing site circumstances accepted the EVA arrangement subject to no objection from the FSD and the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the FSD.

(e) BCII 5 32/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(f) BCII 6 32/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(g) BCII 7 32/2012

Issue : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.
(ii) Non-provision of EVA.

Decision : (i) Noting from the document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(ii) The committee, having noted the existing site circumstances and the advice of the FSD, accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the FSD.

(h) BCII 8 32/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of the site and did not demonstrate that the applicant has a realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the proof of ownership and realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(i) BCII 9 32/2012

Issue : Exemption from payment of fees for processing plans for A&A works in a building for exhibition.

Decision : The committee noted the function of the organization and the nature of proposed works, agreed to the exemption from payment of fees for the processing of plans submitted for approval under the BO.

(j) BCII 10 32/2012

Issue : Amendment plans showing exclusion of a piece of land from the site be not regarded as a major revision.

Decision : Noting the site circumstances and the AP's justification, the committee agreed that amendment plans showing exclusion of the piece of land which had not been taken into account for SC and PR calculation be not regarded as a major revision.

(k) BCII 11 32/2012

Issue : The distance from fire service access point to a fireman's lift was more than 18m.

Decision : The committee, having considered the situation of the existing building, accepted the proposed arrangement subject to acceptance of the same by FSD.

(l) BCII 12 32/2012

Issue : (i) EVA of inadequate width.
(ii) Raised floor without fire resisting rating.

Decision : (i) The committee, having noted the site situation and the advice of FSD, accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to acceptance of the same by FSD.
(ii) The committee considered that further justifications should be provided and it was premature to decide on the case.

(m) BCII 13 32/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the lessee of the lot, and the name of the lessee tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the site.

