Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 30/2012 held on 7.8.2012

(a) MAII 1 30/2012

Issue : Flue apertures for room-sealed gas water heaters at the open edge of

balconies.

Decision : Having studied the plans and noted that the locations of flue apertures

were in line with PNAP APP-27, the committee raised no objection to

the proposal under B(P)R 35A.

(b) MAII 2 30/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : From the assignment documents and LR record submitted by the AP,

the committee noted that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of the site, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership and realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the

plans be disapproved.

(c) <u>BCII 1 30/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had

acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(d) <u>BCII 2 30/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had

acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(e) <u>BCII 3 30/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had

acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(f) <u>BCII 4 30/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the registered owner of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(g) <u>BCII 5 30/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the registered owner of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(h) BCII 6 30/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : The committee noted from the LR record that the applicant had

acquired 100% ownership of the house, which was one of the houses within an existing estate, the proposed redevelopment only involved its own area, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on

Form BA 4. The committee accepted in principle the proof of

ownership of the site.

(i) BCII 7 30/2012

Issue : Areas of lobbies of firefighting and rescue stairways.

Decision : Noting the advice of FSD and that the design was supported by an

endorsed alternative approach to fire safety, the committee raised no

objection to the proposal under MOA Code 18.1.