

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 26/2012 held on 10.7.2012**

(a) BCII 1 26/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the document submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of the site, and the submitted document did not demonstrate the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership and realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(b) BCII 2 26/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : For a development site comprising 2 nos. of private lots and the adjoining government land, the committee noted from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicants were the registered owners of the private lots only, the land exchange application was being processed, no basic terms were formulated yet, and the submitted documents did not demonstrate the applicants had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership and realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(c) BCII 3 26/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the lease document submitted by the AP that the applicant was the lessee of the land, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(d) BCII 4 26/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents submitted by the AP that the applicant was the lessee of the land, and the name of the lessee tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.