

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 24/2012 held on 26.6.2012**

(a) BCII 1 24/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(b) BCII 2 24/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(c) BCII 3 24/2012

Issue : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) Proposed single-storey utility substation served by an EVA with inadequate width and turning space.

Decision : (i) For a development site comprising 2 private lots, the committee noted from the document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of one of the lots, and that the remaining lot was being carved out from another parcel of land under the ownership of the same applicant. The committee also noted that the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4. Hence, the committee accepted the proof of ownership for the private lot in which the applicant had 100% ownership and realistic prospect of control of the remaining lot being carved out from another parcel of land under the ownership of the same applicant.

(ii) The committee, having noted the site circumstances, accepted the EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures as necessary and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(d) BCII 4 24/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lots, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(e) BCII 5 24/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site in relation to the proposed A&A works involving horizontal and vertical extension in an existing building.

Decision : Noting from the lease document that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(f) BCII 6 24/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the document submitted by the AP that the applicant had been authorized by the owner for the carrying out of the works at the site, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(g) BCII 7 24/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(h) BCII 8 24/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lots, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(i) BCII 9 24/2012

Issue : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) Non-provision of EVA for an existing cemetery.

Decision : (i) Noting from the document submitted by the AP, the history of the site, and the relevant Ordinances and Government Gazette notices that the applicant was to carry out works in line with the Government Notifications, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) The committee, having noted the site circumstances and the advice of FSD, accepted the proposed non-provision of EVA.