Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 21/2012 held on 5.6.2012

(a) BCII 1 21/2012

Issue : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) Non-provision of EVA for a utility substation.

Decision : (i) Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the

applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the

committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(ii) The committee, having noted the site circumstances, accepted

the proposed non-provision of EVA.

(b) <u>BCII 2 21/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(c) <u>BCII 3 21/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the authorization letter and LR record submitted by the

AP that the applicant had been authorized by the owner of the site for erection of the proposed building, the committee accepted the proof of

realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(d) BCII 4 21/2012

Issue : (i) Assessment of occupant capacity for parking area.

(ii) Exit doors with insufficient width.

Decision : (i) & (ii) The committee noted that the parking area was not purely

for parking of vehicles, and did not accept the proposed

occupancy factor and exit doors with insufficient width.

(e) BCII 5 21/2012

Issue : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) Plans submitted by two APs in respect of building works at the same site.

Decision : (i) Noting from the assignment documents and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, members advised and the Chairman **agreed** to accept the

proof of ownership of the site.

(ii) The committee noted the submission history of development proposals, and that the applicant had now acquired 100% ownership of the site and appointed another AP for the proposed development. The committee agreed not to invoke B(A)R 15.