

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 19/2012 held on 22.5.2012**

(a) MAII 1 19/2012

- Issue : (i) Non-provision of EVA for a holiday camp.
- (ii) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.
- Decision : (i) The committee, having noted the site circumstances, accepted the proposed non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of FSD as necessary and acceptance of the same by FSD.
- (ii) Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(b) MAII 2 19/2012

- Issue : An institutional development on a sloping site to be served by an EVA with a gradient steeper than 1 in 10.
- Decision : The committee, having noted the site circumstances and the advice of FSD, accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of FSD.

(c) BCII 1 19/2012

- Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.
- Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(d) BCII 2 19/2012

- Issue : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.
- (ii) Proposed single-storey utility building served by an EVA with inadequate width.

- Decision : (i) Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lots, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.
- (ii) The committee, having noted the site circumstances and the advice of FSD, accepted the proposed EVA arrangement.

(e) BCII 3 19/2012

Issue : EVAs of inadequate width and not in the form of a two-way carriageway, and non-provision of a reserve area for the EVA outside the site of a proposed industrial building.

Decision : The committee, having noted the site circumstances, accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to acceptance of the same by FSD.

(f) BCII 4 19/2012

Issue : A&A works for an existing building involving non-compliance with the FS Code in relation to separation of fire service access point and excessive distance to fireman's lift, protection of basement, openings through fire barriers, openings between floors, assessment of occupant capacity and opening direction of exit doors.

Decision : Having studied the case and the AP's justifications, the committee did not accept the proposal.