

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 16/2012 held on 2.5.2012**

(a) MAII 1 16/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(b) BCII 1 16/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(c) BCII 2 16/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(d) BCII 3 16/2012

Issue : The major facades of single-storey structures located at a distance of more than 10m from the EVA of inadequate width.

Decision : The committee, having noted the site situation, accepted the EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(e) BCII 4 16/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(f) BCII 5 16/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the assignment documents submitted by the AP that the applicants had acquired 100% ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(g) BCII 6 16/2012

Issue : (i) Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) EVAs of inadequate width, not in the form of two-way carriageway and not serving two opposite facades of an industrial building.

Decision : (i) Noting from the lease document submitted by the AP that the applicant was the lessee of the land, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(ii) The committee, having noted the site situation, accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to acceptance of the same by FSD.