

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 11/2012 held on 20.3.2012**

(a) BCII 1 11/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the documents and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(b) BCII 2 11/2012

Issue : (i) Resubmission of plans designed to comply with the current MOA, MOE and FRC codes.
(ii) Proposed industrial development served by an EVA with inadequate width.

Decision : (i) The committee noted the submission history, and recognized that submission of plans was diligently proceeded with while unexpected delay was experienced. Having considered the case and AP's justifications, the committee raised no objection to accept the resubmission with its design based on the current MOA, MOE and FRC codes.
(ii) The committee, having noted the site situation and the advice of FSD, accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of FSD.

(c) BCII 3 11/2012

Issue : Major facades of some proposed houses served by EVA were less than 25% of the total length of all the perimeter walls of the buildings.

Decision : The committee, having noted the site situation, accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(d) BCII 4 11/2012

Issue : Proposed single-storey building served by an EVA with inadequate width and turning space.

Decision : The committee, having noted the site situation, accepted the EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(e) BCII 5 11/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site in relation to the proposed A&A works involving horizontal extension and re-construction resulting in a new building.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(f) BCII 6 11/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was the registered owner of the lots, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.