Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 3/2012 held on 17.1.2012

(a) MAII 1 3/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record that the applicant had acquired 100%

ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership

of the site.

(b) MAII 2 3/2012

Issue : Exemption from payment of fees for processing plans.

Decision : Having considered the case and the AP's justifications, the committee

agreed to the granting of exemption from payment of plan processing

fees.

(c) <u>BCII 1 3/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the registered owner of the lots, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(d) BCII 2 3/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the registered owner of the lots, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of

ownership of the site.

(e) BCII 3 3/2012

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the lease document that the applicant was the lessee of

the site, and the name of the lessee tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic

prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(f) BCII 4 3/2012

Issue Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision Noting from the lease document that the applicant was the lessee of

the land, and the name of the lessee tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic

prospect of control of the land forming the site.

BCII 5 3/2012 (g)

Issue Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision Noting from the lease document that the applicant was the lessee of

> the land, and the name of the lessee tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of realistic

prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(h) BCII 6 3/2012

Issue Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision Noting from the LR record that the applicant was the registered owner

of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form

BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(i) BCII 7 3/2012

Issue Non-provision of EVA for a single-family house.

Decision The committee, having noted the site constraint and the advice of FSD,

accepted the proposed non-provision of EVA subject to the provision

of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(j) BCII 8 3/2012

Issue : The major facade of a single-storey transformer room located at a

distance of more than 10m from the EVA.

Decision The committee, having noted the site constraint and the advice of FSD,

accepted the proposed EVA arrangement.

(k) BCII 9 3/2012

Issue : Non-provision of EVA for an institutional complex.

Decision : The committee, having noted the site constraint, accepted the proposed

non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety

measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(l) <u>BCII 10 3/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(m) <u>BCII 11 3/2012</u>

Issue : Firefighting and rescue stairway lobby was excessive in size in an

existing commercial building.

Decision : Having considered the existing building layout and the enhanced

provisions, the committee raised no objection to the proposal under

MOA 18.1 subject to the acceptance of the same by FSD.

(n) <u>BCII 12 3/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the registered owner of the lots, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4 and Form BA 5, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(o) <u>BCII 13 3/2012</u>

Issue : Non-provision of EVA for a single-family house.

Decision : The committee, having noted the site constraint, accepted the proposed

non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety

measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(p) <u>BCII 14 3/2012</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record that the applicant had acquired 100%

ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership

of the site.