

Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 36/2011 held on 6.9.2011

(a) MAII 1 36/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the authorization letters and LR record submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant did not have 100% ownership or authorization of all the owners of the site, and that the submitted documents did not demonstrate the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. The committee did not accept the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(b) BCII 1 36/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicants had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the names of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(c) BCII 2 36/2011

Issue : (i) Discharge of staircases at ground floor.

(ii) Assessment of accommodation.

Decision : (i) Noting the covered areas to which the staircases to be discharged were used as car park, driveway and lay-bys, the committee did not agree to accept the proposal under MOE 12.1.

(ii) Having studied the plans, the committee raised no in-principle objection to the proposed assessment of accommodation.

(d) BCII 3 36/2011

Issue : EVA with inadequate width and turning circle.

Decision : The committee, having considered the site situation, accepted the EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(e) BCII 4 36/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(f) BCII 5 36/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(g) BCII 6 36/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(h) BCII 7 36/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(i) BCII 8 36/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record that the applicant was the registered owner of the lot, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(j) BCII 9 36/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the lease document submitted by the AP that the applicant was the grantee of the land, and the name of the grantee tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.