Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 33/2011 held on 16.8.2011

(a) <u>BCII 1 33/2011</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had

acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(b) BCII 2 33/2011

Issue : Disposal of foul water by means of holding tanks and waste disposal

agent for temporary buildings without public sewerage in the vicinity.

Decision : The committee noted the advice of relevant outside departments, and

that public sewer was not available to the site. Having considered the site circumstances and the scale of temporary development, the

committee accepted the proposal under B(SSF, P, DW&L)R 40.

(c) <u>BCII 3 33/2011</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the document submitted by the AP that the applicant was

the lessee of the land, and the name of the lessee tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the proof of realistic

prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(d) <u>BCII 4 33/2011</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had

acquired 100% ownership of the site, and the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA 4, the committee accepted the

proof of ownership of the site.

(e) <u>BCII 5 33/2011</u>

Issue : (i) Spaces with low headroom in hotel guestrooms.

(ii) Cladding without 300mm reinforced concrete upstands to be

excluded from GFA and SC calculations.

Decision

- (i) The committee recognized the genuine innovative design of the building facade, and agreed in principle to accept the spaces with low headroom underneath the facade projection.
- (ii) Noting that the proposal was not in line with PNAP APP-2, the committee agreed not to exclude the cladding without 300mm reinforced concrete upstands from GFA and SC calculations.

(f) <u>BCII 6 33/2011</u>

Issue : Major facade of the proposed development served by EVA was less

than 25% of the total length of all the perimeter walls of the building.

Decision : The committee, having considered the site constraint and the advice of

FSD, accepted the EVA arrangement subject to the provision of

enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of D of FS.