

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 9/2011 held on 1.3.2011**

(a) MAII 1 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the land exchange document that the applicant was the grantee and the basic terms offer had been accepted, the committee agreed in principle to accept the proof of realistic prospect of control of the site.

(b) BCII 1 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the lease document submitted by the AP that the applicant was the lessee of the land, and that the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(c) BCII 2 9/2011

Issue : (i) The percentage of the facade of the proposed institutional building served by an EVA was less than 25%.
(ii) The distance from the fire service access point to the fireman's lift was more than 18m.

Decision : (i) & (ii) Having considered the site situation, the phasing arrangement and the advice of FSD, the committee accepted the proposal subject to compliance with the requirements of FSD.

(d) BCII 3 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and that the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(e) BCII 4 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the Conditions of Sales and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and that the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(f) BCII 5 9/2011

Issue : The percentage of the facades of the proposed residential houses served by an EVA was less than 25%.

Decision : The committee, having considered the site constraint and the advice of FSD, accepted the EVA arrangement.

(g) BCII 6 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the Agreement for Sale and Purchase and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(h) BCII 7 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : For a development site comprising two private lots, the committee noted from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of one of the lots and obtained the authorization of the owner of the other lot for submission of plans. The committee accepted the proof of ownership of the lot being owned with 100% ownership and realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

(i) BCII 8 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and that the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(j) BCII 9 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the document and LR record submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the documentary proof of ownership was incomplete and insufficient to demonstrate that the applicant as stated in Form BA5 had the ownership of or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site. The committee did not accept the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(k) BCII 10 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and that the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(l) BCII 11 9/2011

Issue : The percentage of the facades of the proposed temporary building served by an EVA was less than 25%.

Decision : The committee, having considered the site constraint and the advice of FSD, accepted the EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the FSD.

(m) BCII 12 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the assignment document submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant acquired 54 out of 529 units of the existing buildings on the land forming the site, and that the submitted document did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. Noting that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(n) BCII 13 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the assignment document submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant acquired 11 out of 24 units of the existing buildings on the land forming the site, and that the submitted document did not demonstrate that the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. Noting that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(o) BCII 14 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(p) BCII 15 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP did not submit any documentary proof to demonstrate that the applicant had the ownership of or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the proof of ownership and realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(q) BCII 16 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : From the document submitted by the AP, the committee noted that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of the site, and that the submitted document did not demonstrate the applicant had realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site to support the proposed development for compliance with the BO. Noting that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of or realistic prospect of control of the

land forming the site, the committee did not accept the proof of realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

(r) BCII 17 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the executed assignment document and LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, and that the name of the purchaser tallied with that shown on Form BA4 and Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.

(s) BCII 18 9/2011

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site.

Decision : Noting from the LR record submitted by the AP that the applicant had acquired 100% ownership of the site, the committee accepted the proof of ownership of the site.