# **Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee II 47/2010 held on 28.12.2010**

#### (a) <u>BCII 1 47/2010</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP had submitted the executed assignment of the entire

site though the assignment was not yet shown in the LR record, the

committee accepted the proof of ownership.

### (b) BCII 2 47/2010

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the site acquired by public auction had been handed over

to the applicant, and that the name of the owner tallied with that shown on Form BA4 and BA5, the committee accepted the realistic prospect

of control of the site.

### (c) <u>BCII 3 47/2010</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : The committee noted from the documents submitted by the AP that

only 2 units were acquired by the applicant for the development site comprising 8 nos. of 4-storey Chinese houses. Noting that the applicant did not have 100% ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the realistic prospect of control of the site and agreed that the plans be

disapproved.

#### (d) <u>BCII 4 47/2010</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the site was owned by a single owner, and that the name of

the owner shown on the LR record tallied with that shown on Form

BA4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership.

#### (e) <u>BCII 5 47/2010</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision

The committee noted from the documents submitted by the AP that only 2 units were acquired by the applicant for the development site comprising 6 nos. of 7-storey Chinese houses. Noting that the applicant did not have 100% ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the realistic prospect of control of the site and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

### (f) <u>BCII 6 47/2010</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the AP had submitted the executed assignment of the entire

site though the assignment was not yet shown in the LR record, the

committee accepted the proof of ownership.

### (g) BCII 7 47/2010

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the name of the owner shown on the LR record submitted

by the AP tallied with that shown on Form BA4 and BA5, the

committee accepted the proof of ownership.

#### (h) <u>BCII 8 47/2010</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the name of the owner shown on Conditions of Exchange

tallied with that shown on Form BA4 for the completed demolition works and the new Form BA5, the committee accepted the proof of ownership provided that the corresponding LR record and assignment

plans were submitted by the applicant.

#### (i) BCII 9 47/2010

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : The committee, noted from the documents submitted that the applicant

had ownership or realistic prospect of control of 25 units out of a total of 36 units of the existing buildings on the subject site. The committee did not accept the proof of ownership and agreed that the

plans be disapproved.

## (j) BCII 10 47/2010

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : The committee noted from the documents submitted by the AP that

more than 90% of ownership of the site had been acquired. Noting that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept

the proof of ownership and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

## (k) <u>BCII 11 47/2010</u>

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : For a development site comprising 3 nos. of lots, the committee noted

from the report of the AP that the shares of ownership acquired for the 3 lots were 2 out of 38, 2 out of 28 and nil respectively. Noting that the applicant did not have 100% ownership of or realistic prospect of control of the land forming the site, the committee did not accept the

proof of ownership and agreed that the plans be disapproved.

#### (1) BCII 12 47/2010

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the site was owned by a single owner, and that the name of

the owner shown on the LR record tallied with that shown on Form

BA4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership.

#### (m) BCII 13 47/2010

Issue : Proof of ownership or realistic prospect of control of the land forming

the site.

Decision : Noting that the site was owned by a single owner, and that the name of

the owner shown on the LR record tallied with that shown on Form

BA4, the committee accepted the proof of ownership.