

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 41/2010 held on 16.11.2010**

(a) BCII 1 41/2010

Issue : Proposed industrial building to be served by an EVA of inadequate width.

Decision : The committee, having considered the site situation and FSD's advice, accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures and acceptance of the same by FSD.

(b) BCII 2 41/2010

Issue : Proposed residential development served by an EVA with inadequate width and excessive gradient, and a residential block with less than 25% of the facades served by the proposed EVA.

Decision : The committee, having considered the site situation and FSD's advice, accepted the deficiency of the EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of FSD.

(c) BCII 3 41/2010

Issue : Proposed use for dining in an exhibition hall.

Decision : Having heard the AP's clarification, the committee noted that the proposed use for dining would be on event-based only and that the hall for exhibition would not be changed into a restaurant permanently. The committee raised no objection to the proposed use for dining in the exhibition hall.

(d) BCII 4 41/2010

Issue : (i) Airtight manhole covers of non-cast iron type.

(ii) Cover of manhole for stormwater in rectangular shape.

Decision : (i) Having noted the advice of DSD and that there was no structural objection, the committee raised no objection to the use of non-cast iron airtight manhole covers.

(ii) The committee, having noted the justifications provided by the AP, did not identify any difficulties or special circumstances warranting a deviation from the requirement. Hence, the committee did not accept cover of manhole for stormwater to be in rectangular shape.

(e) BCII 5 41/2010

Issue : Disposal of foul water by means of holding tank and waste disposal agent.

Decision : The committee noted that public sewer was not available and soakaway system could not be constructed in the landfill site. Having noted the site situation and the advice of DSD, the committee accepted the proposed system under B(SSF, P, DW&L)R 40.