

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee II 33/2010 held on 21.9.2010**

(a) BCII 1 33/2010

Issue : Proposed extension of existing columbarium having the major facade located at a distance of more than 10m from the EVA with inadequate turning space.

Decision : The committee, having noted the site situation and FSD's advice, accepted the deficiencies of the EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(b) BCII 2 33/2010

Issue : Non-provision of EVA for a commercial development.

Decision : The committee, having noted the site constraint and FSD's advice, accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of the D of FS.

(c) BCII 3 33/2010

Issue : Major facade of a hostel served by the EVA was less than 25% of the total length of the perimeter of the building.

Decision : The committee, having considered the site situation, accepted the deficiency of the EVA subject to the acceptance of the same by FSD in writing.

(d) BCII 4 33/2010

Issue : Non-provision of EVA for a residential development.

Decision : The committee, having noted the existing site constraint, accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the acceptance of the same by FSD in writing.

(e) BCII 5 33/2010

Issue : (i) Height of storeys and headroom under beams of existing aged Public Rental Housing Estates.

(ii) Proposed open kitchen design in existing buildings.

(iii) Non-provision of natural lighting and ventilation to proposed internal bathrooms of existing buildings.

- Decision : (i) Having noted the circumstances and that the non-conformity was marginal, the committee accepted the deficiency in height of storey and headroom under beams.
- (ii) The committee noted that the proposed kitchen were located away from the exit of the flat. Having considered the circumstances, the committee raised no objection to open kitchen design under B(P)Reg 30 and B(C)R 90 subject to compliance with B(P)Reg 36(4).
- (iii) The committee noted the revitalization was for aged Public Rental Housing Estates. Having considered the circumstances, the committee raised no objection to accept internal bathrooms in respect of B(P)Reg 36 in accordance with the requirements under PNAP APP-98.

(f) BCII 6 33/2010

Issue : Non-provision of FRP for lift landing doors of panorama lift.

Decision : The committee, having studied the plans, accepted the lift landing doors without FRP.