

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 20/2010 held on 22.6.2010**

(a) BCII 1 20/2010

Issue : Non-provision of EVA for a composite development.

Decision : The committee, having noted the site constraint and FSD's advice, accepted the non-provision of EVA subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction of D of FS.

(b) BCII 2 20/2010

Issue : (i) Determination of the number and width of thoroughfare for place of public entertainment accommodating less than 500 persons.

(ii) Proposed PPE mixed with other uses.

Decision : (i) The committee noted the site constraints and that the proposal was for the existing historical buildings. Having noted the advice of FSD, the committee accepted the proposed thoroughfare arrangement.

(ii) The committee noted that the PPE was physically separated from the remaining part of the building by FRP construction and provided with independent MOE exits. Having studied the design, the committee accepted the proposal.

(c) BCII 3 20/2010

Issue : Proposed residential building to be served by an EVA of inadequate width.

Decision : The committee, having considered the site situation, accepted the proposed EVA arrangement subject to acceptance of the same by FSD.

(d) BCII 4 20/2010

Issue : (i) Balcony approach as means of escape for a development of an education institution.

(ii) Exit staircases discharging onto open deck.

Decision : (i) & (ii) The committee noted that the design of the MOE was complicated and indirect. Having studied the plans, the committee did not accept the MOE design was the balcony approach under the MOE Code, and did not accept the open deck as the final discharge of the exit staircases.

(e) BCII 5 20/2010

Issue : Plans submitted by two APs in respect of building works at the same site.

Decision : Having noted the submissions made by the APs, the committee agreed not to accept plans under B(A)Reg 15.

(f) BCII 6 20/2010

Issue : Plans submitted by two APs in respect of building works at the same site.

Decision : Having noted the submissions made by the APs, the committee agreed not to accept plans under B(A)Reg 15.