

Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 13/2005 held on 19.4.2005

(a) MAII 1 13/2005

Issue : Proposed enlargement and repositioning of the lavatories of existing domestic flats for the elderly persons resulted in the non-provision of natural lighting and ventilation to lavatories.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposed improvement works would enable wheelchair users to gain access to the lavatories. The committee accepted that the proposed works would enhance the living environment of the elders. Having noted this and the existing site constraints encountered in providing alternative design, the committee accepted the proposal subject to the compliance with the requirements laid down in PNAP 219.

(b) BCII 1 13/2005

Issue : Proposed worship halls without the provision of EVA.

Decision : Having considered the existing site constraints, the nature of the development and FSD's no objection, the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA.

(c) BCII 2 13/2005

Issue : Proposed re-development of a 3-storey school building with deficiency on the part of the proposed EVA.

Decision : Having considered the design and the comments of FSD, the committee accepted the proposed EVA.

(d) BCII 3 13/2005

Issue : Proposed 5-storey school extension to an existing school with one common protected staircase enclosure wall not continuously separated from the remainder of the building at G/F.

Decision : The committee, having considered the layout, accepted the proposal subject to the width of the exit at G/F complying with the provisions of the MOE Code.

(e) BCII 4 13/2005

Issue : (i) Proposed development comprising a single-storey temple and a shrine without the provision of EVA

(ii) Proposed exemption from payment of fees for processing plans.

- Decision : (i) Having considered the existing site conditions, the scale of the development and FSD's advice, the committee accepted the non-provision of EVA.
- (ii) Noting that the applicant was not included in the list of charitable organizations under Section 88 of the Inland Revenue Ordinance, the committee did not accept the exemption of fees for processing plans.

(f) BCII 5 13/2005

Issue : Proposed entertainment centre on level 13 to be mixed with other uses in a 15-storey commercial building.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposal compiled with MOE, FRC and MOA. The committee also noted that the proposed public entertainment included roller coaster and other amusement games. Having studied the plans, the committee accepted the proposal subject to no adverse comments from Police and FEHD.