

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee II 9/2005 held on 15.3.2005**

(a) BCII 1 9/2005

Issue : Proposed 26-storey residential building with provision of EVA not fully complied with the requirement of MOA Code.

Decision : Having noted the existing site situation, the provision of fire enhancement measures and FSD's advice, the committee accepted the proposal.

(b) BCII 2 9/2005

Issue : Proposed 10-storey domestic building with provision of EVA not fully complied with the requirement of MOA Code.

Decision : Having noted the existing site situation and FSD's advice, the committee accepted the proposal subject to the provision of the enhanced fire safety measures to the satisfaction to D of FS.

(c) BCII 3 9/2005

Issue : Proposed A&A works resulting in deficiency in the provision of the openable windows to the guestrooms.

Decision : The committee did not accept AP's justifications for modification and rejected the proposed deficiency in the provision of openable windows for domestic accommodation.

(d) BCII 4 9/2005

Issue : Proposed single-family house development with provision of EVA not fully complied with the requirement of MOA Code.

Decision : Having noted the nature of development, the site situation and FSD's no objection, the committee accepted the proposal.

(e) BCII 5 9/2005

Issue : Proposed 3-storey non-domestic building with exit route for stores on upper floors not complying with requirements of MOE, FRC and provision of access and facilities for persons with a disability.

Decision : The committee, having studied the design, agreed that the proposed stores on the upper floors were separate floors. Hence the proposal did not comply with the requirements of MOE & FRC. The committee also agreed that the proposal did not comply with the requirements set out in B(P)Reg 72.

(f) BCII 6 9/2005

Issue : Proposed 24-storey commercial building with provision of EVA not fully complied with the requirement of MOA Code.

Decision : Having noted the existing site configuration and FSD's advice to the marginal deficiency, the committee accepted the proposal.

(g) BCII 7 9/2005

Issue : Proposed 3-storey single-family house with provision of EVA not fully complied with the requirement of MOA Code.

Decision : Having noted the nature of development and FSD's advice, the committee accepted the proposal.