

Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee I 35/2018 held on 6.11.2018

(a) MAI 1 35/2018

Issue : Exclusion of rainwater harvesting plant rooms from GFA calculations.

Decision : Having considered the design and the function of the proposed rainwater harvesting system, the committee had no objection in principle to exclude the relevant plant rooms from GFA calculations subject to AP's further justifications.

(b) MAI 2 35/2018

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : Noting that the proposal generally met the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40 and no adverse comment from relevant departments, the committee had no objection to grant hotel concession under B(P)R 23A.

(c) BCI 1 35/2018

Issues : (i) Proposed means of access to a site.
(ii) Development intensities and height of building for a site not abutting a specified street.

Decisions : (i) Noting that the site would be accessible from a specified street through an existing paved access road on government land, the committee had no objection to the proposed means of access under B(P)R 5.

(ii) The committee had no objection to the proposed development intensities and building height under B(P)R 19(3).

(d) BCI 2 35/2018

Issue : Provision of greenery areas for a phased development.

Decision : Having considered the circumstances of the case and the justifications provided by the AP, the committee raised no objection in principle to the proposed provision of greenery areas for the phased development subject to AP's further justifications and conditions.

(e) BCI 3 35/2018

Issue : Exemption of aboveground car parks from GFA calculations.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case and the design of the aboveground carpark, the committee did not agree to permit the exclusion of such areas from GFA calculations.

(f) BCI 4 35/2008

Issue : Proposed high headroom of MiC training / demonstration area.

Decision : Having considered the design and the use of the building and having noted that there was no objection from the relevant departments, the committee agreed in principle that the proposed clear headroom would be favourably considered upon building plan submission.